1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13	Punjab	1,01,738	97,598	1,02,468	1,07,591	93,758
14	Rajasthan	2,85,859	3,00,138	3,33,971	3,17,307	2,88,089
15	Tamil Nadu	4,18,017	4,30,312	4,17,027	3,80,028	3,56,936
16	Uttar Pradesh	4,70,014	4,83,141	5,03,602	4,50,431	4,29,441
17	West Bengal	2,45,258	2,53,880	2,88,951	1,94,993	1,36,757
II	Smaller States					
1.	Arunachal Pradesh	1,429	1,632	2,101	1,417	1,946
2.	Delhi	39,100	39,269	39,641	34,168	28,882
3.	Goa	5,250	5,090	5,196	5,351	5,325
4.	Himachal Pradesh	33,911	32,337	33,531	28,383	26,445
5.	Jammu & Kashmir	20,849	20,772	21,597	21,466	19,281
6.	Manipur	1,712	1,474	518	1,743	406
7.	Meghalaya	2,483	2,642	2,205	2,264	- 2,533
8.	Mizoram	4,970	3,936	2,140	2,319	2,342
9.	Nagaland	1,152	1,154	754	1,195	972
10	Sikkim	569	1,355	1,173	1,518	1,471
11	Tripura	3,427	3,121	2,274	3,978	3,303
12	Uttaranchal	31,274	31,200	34,799	34,980	32,767
III	Union Territories					
1.	A & N Islands	1,845	1,304	1,418	1,111	988
2.	Chandigarh	2,789	2,908	2,393	2,202	2,385
3.	D & N Haveli	735	785	943	"930	978
4.	Daman & Diu	623	560	579	469	500
5.	Lakshadweep	21	17	22	23	40
6.	Pondicherry	12,289	12,545	11,932	10,213	10,483
IV	Other Agencies					
1.	M/O Defence	14,473	13,595	17,669	16,204	13,459
2.	M/O Railways	8,549	7,375	6,883	5,694	4,921
	ALL INDIA:	49,03,388	49,24,824	49,20,144	46,92,032	45,16,264

*Figures are provisional.

Unmanned level crossings

*227. SHRI HARISH RAWAT:

SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA:

Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the unmanned level crossings, State-wise;

(b) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has missed the annual target for manning the unmanned crossings;

(c) if so, the details of performance against the annual targets in the last three years, year-wise and zone-wise;

(d) the reasons-for under performance;

(e) the steps being taken to man the unmanned crossings expeditiously; and

(f) the share of respective States in manning such crossings?

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (SHRI LALU PRASAD): (a) Number of Unmanned Level Crossing as on 01.04.2006 (State-Wise) is as under:

SI. No.	State	Unmanned*
1.	Assam	581
2.	Andhra Pradesh	1363
3.	Bihar	1029
4.	Chhattisgarh	304
5.	Delhi	2
6.	Gujarat	2746
7.	Haryana	370
8.	Himachal Pradesh	55
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	9
10.	Jharkhand	442
11.	Karnataka	821
32.	Kerala	120
13.	Madhya Pradesh	977
14.	Maharashtra	1060
15.	Manipur	0
16.	Mizoram	0
17.	Nagaland	0
18.	Orissa	860
19.	Punjab	860
20.	Rajasthan	1508
21.	Tamil Nadu	1227
22.	Tripura	15
23.	Uttar Pradesh	2690
24.	Uttaranchal	119
25.	West Bengal	1130
26.	Chandigarh	0
27.	Pondicherry	9
28.	Goa	0
	TOTAL:	18,297

*Excluding Canal Crossings and "D" Class cattle crossings.

(b) and (c) There have been some shortfalls. Zone-wise targets set for manning and actual performance during the last three years are as under (The figures are maintained Zone-wise and not State-wise):

SI. No.	Zone	Target & Achievements Last 3 Years					
		2004		2005-06		2006-07	
		Targe	Performance	Target	Performance	Target	Performance
1.	Central	02	00	06	06	00	00
2.	Eastern	11	11	00	11	00	01
3.	Northern	10	10	62	43	80	24
4.	Northeastern	10	24	20	21	40	28
' 5.	North East Frontier	10	06	13	14	01	08
6.	Southern	05	05	50	51	60	49
7.	South Central	15	15	33	37	27	12
8.	South Eastern	10	04	12	02	03	03
9.	Western	10	04	35	26	53	29
10.	East Central	35	21	20	10	10	05
11.	East Coast	20	20	20	22	26	14
12.	North Central	30	24	20	02	15	09
13.	Northwestern	15	18	30	05	25	21
14.	South East Central	15	13	15	19	03	12
15.	Southwestern	10	12	25	22	21	17
16.	West Central	03	03	04	00	05	04
TOTAL:		211	190	365	291	369	236

(d) and (e) The following are the main reasons for under performance:

(1) These works are of small magnitude and are at scattered locations. Response of the contractual agencies is poor and execution of works takes time, resulting in slow progress.

(2) Delay in recruitment of Gatemen due to various court cases and verifications by Police.

The following steps have been taken to augment the manning process:

(i) The Zonal Railways have been directed to expedite creation posts and selection of Gatemen.

(ii) Zonal Railways have been stressed upon to deal with legal court cases/matters on priority.

(f) The expenditure incurred on manning of unmanned level crossings meeting the Railway's criteria of manning is borne by Railway itself and the State Governments are not asked to contribute the expenses.'

GDP spending on health

*228. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the National Common Minimum Programme had suggested raising public